

# The Mid-South Tribune

*“Where there is no vision, the people will perish.”*

**MSTnews@prodigy.net**

\*\*\*

**Posted: March 31, 2022**

**For Immediate Release**

**Editorial link is:**

<https://themidsouthtribuneblog.wordpress.com/2022/03/31/no-endorsement-for-supreme-court-nominee-judge-ketanji-brown-jackson/>

## **AN EDITORIAL: No Endorsement for Supreme Court Nominee Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson\***

**By Ms. Arelya J. Mitchell, Publisher/Editor-in-Chief**

*The Mid-South Tribune*

After listening carefully and open-mindedly to all four days of Supreme Court Confirmation hearings for Judge Ketanji Brown Jackson, we shall NOT endorse her in her endeavor to become America’s first Black female Supreme Court Justice. Of course, we could be sarcastic in the ‘context’ of this endorsement and question if she is a woman?

Judge Jackson more than likely will make this history because she has what has now become the Democrat Socialist Party’s numbers.

Our dilemma with Judge Jackson is that she is WOKE.

Never was this more telling than when U.S. Sen. Marsha Blackburn asked her: “Can you provide a definition for the word ‘woman’?”

“Can I provide a definition?” she repeated. “I can’t.”

“You can’t?” Sen. Blackburn asked again.

“Not in this context,” Judge Jackson began her answer and ended in a maze of legalese gobbledygook, “I’m not a biologist.”

Outside of our own surprise, we thought she would have given at least the Democrat Party’s via Congressional Black Caucus (CBC) member Cori Bush’s definition that a child’s mother should be referred to as a “birthing person” and a child’s father should be referred to as a “non-birthing person.” But Judge Jackson even failed to use this latest WOKE language to define a ‘woman’ in this regard which, in our estimation, renders what was previously known as female and male as no more than any other animal on planet Earth used for livestock and for the procreation of more livestock, pretty much in the same manner as slave breeding farms did in what has become a blot on human history, not exclusive to American history and not exclusive to Black American slaves. Slavery is universal today and yesterday. Yet, today there is such a fear of BLM (Black Lives Matter), a Marxist organization, that Democrat Blacks dare not even question BLM’s advocacy that the nuclear Black family is white supremacist. Did Judge Jackson fall into the throes of BLM fear that she, too, dared not answer this fundamental question? Forbidden question?

We have to wonder how Judge Jackson would have answered the legendary Black woman abolitionist Sojourner Truth who asked, “Ain’t I A Woman?” which is the foundation of one of the most famous speeches in American and Black history on freedom.

Even before Sen. Blackburn’s question was asked, layers of Jackson’s Wokeness was being exposed by Sens. Ted Cruz, Josh Hawley, Lindsey Graham, Tom Cotton, and John Kennedy. Yes, they are all Republicans, White, and non-birthing persons, but what has that to do with the price of justice? (As a side note: Sen. Blackburn is Tennessee’s first woman U.S. senator, and she has no problem acknowledging herself as a ‘woman’, as would most women.)

In our estimation, these senators’ interrogations revealed the following: 1). Judge Jackson is pro-CRT (Critical Race Theory) which teaches that the Black child is oppressed and the White child is the oppressor and 2). Judge Jackson is lenient in sentencing pedophiles and in sentencing those possessing child pornography. In the latter, her answers became such a legalese rigmarole that we wondered if she viewed child porn as no more than a person’s bad taste in art. Her answers rightly prompted Judiciary Committee Republican members to write her a letter dated March 25, 2022 which began: “During your confirmation hearing, you were asked by multiple members about the extraordinarily lenient sentences that you have given to child pornography offenders. Your sentences for child pornography offenders were routinely less than the sentences recommended by the sentencing guidelines and less than the sentences recommended by the prosecutors—in fact, you give reduced sentences far more often than did average federal judges.”

During Sens. Graham’s and Hawley’s interrogations, Judge Jackson seemingly showed more sympathy for the perpetrators than the victims. One such instance is brought out in that same letter of March 25, 2022: “In one specific case that was addressed at the hearing, you sentenced a man named Wesley Hawkins, who distributed child pornography on the internet. The sentencing guidelines recommended a sentence of about 8-10 years. As a result of a plea agreement, the prosecutors in the case recommended a 24-month sentence, and your own probation office recommended 18 months. You, however, sentenced Hawkins to a mere three months in BOP custody for his crimes.”

In another instance, Judge Jackson explained that her light sentence of a man selling drugs which were laced with fentanyl was as light as it was because there were no victims she could call as witnesses and, therefore, could perhaps deem his behavior as a victimless crime. All we could think was that if fentanyl is as deadly as it is, the victims could have all been dead! This was surely not the victimless crime Judge Jackson ascertained.

No matter how non-PC our next observation is, it, nevertheless, falls into Judge Jackson's WOKE-CRT methodology. Keep in mind, Judge Jackson finally confessed that her 'methodology' was her 'philosophy' when she kept being pressed on 'what is your judicial philosophy?' A fair question, we thought.

This led us to wonder how many of those criminals were Black she set free? This may seem like a racist statement when, in fact, it is not. It is relevant or should be when it comes to Judge Jackson and her 'methodology-philosophy' which screams of WOKE-CRT discrimination.

Joe Biden made it a point to nominate a Black woman (birthing person) to become the first to sit on the U.S. Supreme Court. Back in the 90's we advocated for such, too, but with the understanding that she would follow the dictates of jurisprudence – blind justice—regardless of party affiliation. Joe Biden, of course, has the distinction of sponsoring a crime bill so tough that it affected at least two generations of Black men (non-birthing persons) over possession of crack while his own son, Hunter, was a crack cocaine addict and has yet to serve a second in jail. Now, Biden has nominated a Black 'woman' judge who seemingly sets criminals loose at the drop of a hat. Maybe between Joe Biden and Judge Jackson, crime sentencing has come full circle: One puts them in at the drop of a hat; the other lets them out at the drop of a hat. Both actions are irresponsible, racist, and creates devastating crime waves.

We were pleased to see—yes, a young Black 'woman', Keisha Russell, testify against Judge Jackson's nomination, citing that CRT could color (our pun intended) Judge Jackson's judicial decision-making.

Russell stated on that fourth day of testimony reserved solely for pro and con witnesses: "CRT encourages racist actions and attitudes against the perceived 'oppressor' group, namely whites. Ibram X. Kendi, one of CRT's advocates, brazenly declared: *'The only remedy to racist discrimination is antiracist discrimination. The only remedy to present discrimination is future discrimination.'* In essence, CRT proposes that the oppressed group must be granted advantages in society to the detriment of the oppressor group in order to address past injustices."

'Detriment' is a key word here, because in our interpretation it means 'obliteration'. Even CBC member Cong. James Clyburn talked of the total 'deconstruction' of American society when campaigning for Joe Biden. The word 'Black' becomes a key propagandized component in justifying the obliteration of a race of people that's not Black. Is this where we want to go?

When you read and reread Kendi's justification for CRT, you can understand why Black thugs have become America's 'Poster Children' (albeit, teens and young adults) of crime and given unimaginable freedom to smash and grab in robbing stores, walking out in broad daylight with stolen goods, throwing victims in paths of subway trains, smacking babies in their mothers' arms, sucker-punching nine-year-olds, shooting and killing babies, rioting, destroying businesses at will, cell phone filming of murders and torture via the sanctioning giants of Big Tech internet, etc. Creating chaos

helter-skelter is becoming the norm, just as CRT-PC judges are on their way to becoming the norm to act as agents to transform America. Into what? What?

Russell continued in her assessment: “Adherence to CRT removes the principle of equality before the law that is necessary for just judicial decision-making. Consider, for example, how a CRT philosophy could influence a judge’s view in criminal sentencing ...”

Russell certainly answered the question and our concern we had on that first day of the hearing. We were happy to see it answered on what turned out to be a vital fourth day, when Russell expounded: “A judge who is a critical race theorist may decide to provide a lower sentence for a black defendant or a higher sentence to a white defendant to correct perceived disparities in the criminal justice system. Such a philosophy will impact a judge’s fundamental view of legal justice and undermine her ability to adhere to the rule of law.”

Judge Jackson serves on the board of a prestigious school which has in its library and curriculum a WOKE book that even babies should be taught CRT and that White babies should learn to apologize to Black babies for oppressing them. Babies? Really? CRT further teaches that the Black child must not learn math because math, too, is white supremacist. Math? Really? CRT proponents have become so bold that they don’t mind yelling out to parents (birthing and non-birthing persons) that their children do not belong to them! Would they have been so emboldened five years ago, ten years ago? Would Americans of whatever race, color or creed have accepted this outrageousness in the name of Equity that they have no parental rights and that their children are to be used for fodder to advance a Democrat Party socialist agenda, and that Blacks themselves must *presently* be weaponized in every area (especially the judiciary) to justify for past, present and future discrimination, according to Kendi? In the ‘future’, can we expect Judge Jackson not to be able to answer, ‘What is a child?’ And, if she does not know what a ‘child’ is, are we to presuppose that if there is no ‘child’ then child pornography in fact does not exist?

Does America want to go backwards then forwards into a blood-thirsty, revenge-motivated society? The Black community already under WOKE, CRT, BLM (a Marxist organization which espouses CRT) has, in fact, gone backwards to the Democrat Party’s delight and encouragement as exemplified by Sen. Cory Booker whose salivating praise of Judge Jackson on her last day of testimony bordered on emotional insanity and little else. Sen. Booker, a member of the Congressional Black Caucus, is typical of those Black-elected officials who continually preempt the socio-economic needs of the Black community for the sake of the Democrat Party.

Black judges and Black-elected officials cannot rectify the past by revenge-oppression of Whites in the present and future, then turn around and demand the subjugation of their Black constituents never to look beyond color for the sake of the Democrat Party. There are no dialectics at all in this closed ‘Black’ society from which to develop any type of paradigm to move up the ladder; thus, mystifying even those idealists Marx and Hegel. And where there are no dialectics, mediocrity and ignorance become the Rule of Law and the Rule of Life—and anything goes. Even a Judge from an Ivy League school who can’t define ‘what is a woman?’

\*This editorial can also be found on the Editorial lane on The Mid-South Tribune and Black Information Highway at [www.blackinformationhighway.com](http://www.blackinformationhighway.com) . *Welcome, Travelers!* Or you may link to

<https://themidsouthtribuneblog.wordpress.com/2022/03/31/no-endorsement-for-supreme-court-nominee-judge-ketanji-brown-jackson/>